

# ***HiRA: Hidden Row Activation***

*for Reducing Refresh Latency of Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips*

**Abdullah Giray Yağlıkçı**

Ataberk Olgun   Minesh Patel   Haocong Luo   Hasan Hassan

Lois Orosa   Oğuz Ergin   Onur Mutlu

**SAFARI**

**ETH** zürich



**TOBB ETÜ**  
University of Economics & Technology

# Executive Summary

- **Problem:** DRAM Refresh
  - is a **fundamental operation** to avoid bit flips due to **leakage** and **RowHammer**
  - incurs **increasingly large performance overhead** with DRAM chip **density scaling**
- **Goal:** Reduce the **performance overhead** of DRAM Refresh
- **Key Idea:** **Hide refresh latency** by **refreshing** a DRAM row *concurrently with* **activating** another row in a **different subarray** of the **same bank**
- **HiRA:** Hidden Row Activation – a new DRAM operation that
  - Issues **DRAM commands** in **quick succession** to concurrently open two rows in **different subarrays**
  - Works on **real off-the-shelf DRAM chips** by violating timing constraints
  - **Significantly reduces** (51.4%) the time spent for refresh operations
- **HiRA-MC:** HiRA Memory Controller – a new mechanism
  - **Leverages HiRA** to perform **refresh requests** *concurrently with* **DRAM accesses** and **other refresh requests**
  - **Significantly improves** system performance by **hiding refresh latency** for both **regular periodic** and **RowHammer-preventive** refreshes

# Outline

Background and Problem

Goal and Key Idea

HiRA: Hidden Row Activation

HiRA in Real DRAM Chips


HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

Performance Evaluation


Conclusion

# DRAM Organization


DRAM Rank



DRAM Chip




DRAM Bank




DRAM Subarray

# DRAM Operations



- 1 ACTIVATE (ACT):**  
Fetch the row's content into the **row buffer**
- 2 Column Access (RD/WR):**  
Read/Write the target column and drive to I/O
- 3 PRECHARGE (PRE):**  
Prepare the array for a new ACTIVATE

# DRAM Refresh



DRAM Refresh **is the key maintenance operation** to **avoid bit flips** due to charge leakage

DRAM Refresh **activates** a row and **precharges** the bank

**Problem:** DRAM Refresh **blocks** accesses to the **whole bank / rank**

# Two Main Types of DRAM Refresh


1

**Periodic Refresh**: Periodically **restores** the charge  
DRAM cells leak **over time**



2

**RowHammer**: Repeatedly accessing a DRAM row can cause  
**bit flips** in other **physically nearby rows**





**Preventive Refresh**: Mitigates RowHammer  
by **refreshing physically nearby rows**  
of a repeatedly accessed row

# Periodic Refresh with Increasing DRAM Chip Density

A **larger capacity** chip has **more rows to be refreshed**



A **smaller** cell stores **less charge**





**More periodic refresh** operations incur  
**larger performance overhead** as DRAM **chip density increases**



# RowHammer and Preventive Refresh with Increasing DRAM Chip Density

**RowHammer vulnerability worsens**  
as DRAM **chip density increases**



**Preventive refresh operations** need to be performed  
**more aggressively** as DRAM **chip density increases**

# Outline

Background and Problem

Goal and Key Idea

HiRA: Hidden Row Activation

HiRA in Real DRAM Chips

HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

Performance Evaluation

Conclusion

# Our Goal

Reduce the **performance overhead** of **DRAM Refresh**  
(both **periodic** and **preventive**)

# Key Idea

Hide refresh latency by **refreshing** a DRAM row *concurrently with* **activating** another row in a **different subarray** of the **same bank**

# Outline

Background and Problem

Goal and Key Idea

**HiRA: Hidden Row Activation**

HiRA in Real DRAM Chips


HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

Performance Evaluation

Conclusion


# HiRA: Hidden Row Activation – Key Insight

Activating two rows in **quick succession** that are in **different subarrays** in the **same bank** can **refresh one row** concurrently with **activating the other row**




# HiRA: Hidden Row Activation – Key Benefit

Refresh RowA concurrently with Activating RowB



# HiRA: Hidden Row Activation – Closer Look



HiRA **refreshes RowA** concurrently with **activating RowB** by issuing **ACT-PRE-ACT** commands in quick succession



# Outline

Background and Problem

Goal and Key Idea

HiRA: Hidden Row Activation

HiRA in Real DRAM Chips

HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

Performance Evaluation


Conclusion

# DRAM Testing Infrastructure

FPGA-based SoftMC (Xilinx Virtex UltraScale+ XCU200)

Xilinx Alveo U200 FPGA Board  
(programmed with SoftMC\*)

DRAM Module with Heaters



Fine-grained control over **DRAM commands**,  
**timing parameters ( $\pm 1.5\text{ns}$ )**, and **temperature ( $\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ )**

# HiRA in Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips: Key Result 1


- HiRA works in **56 off-the-shelf DRAM chips** from **SK Hynix**

Table 4: Characteristics of the tested DDR4 DRAM modules.

Module Label	Module Vendor	Module Identifier Chip Identifier	Freq (MT/s)	Date Code	Chip Cap.	Die Rev.	Chip Org.	HiRA Coverage			Norm. $N_{RH}$		
								Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.
A0	G.SKILL	DWCW (Partial Marking)*	2400	42-20	4Gb	B	x8	24.8%	25.0%	25.5%	1.75	1.90	2.52
A1		F4-2400C17S-8GNT [39]						24.9%	26.6%	28.3%	1.72	1.94	2.55
B0	Kingston	H5AN8G8NDJR-XNC	2400	48-20	4Gb	D	x8	25.1%	32.6%	36.8%	1.71	1.89	2.34
B1		KSM32RD8/16HDR [87]						25.0%	31.6%	34.9%	1.74	1.91	2.51
C0	SK Hynix	H5ANAG8NAJR-XN	2400	51-20	4Gb	F	x8	25.3%	35.3%	39.5%	1.47	1.89	2.23
C1		HMAA4GU6AJR8N-XN						29.2%	38.4%	49.9%	1.09	1.88	2.27
C2		HMAA4GU6AJR8N-XN [109]						26.5%	36.1%	42.3%	1.49	1.96	2.58

\* The chip identifier is partially removed on these modules. We infer the chip manufacturer and die revision based on the remaining part of the chip identifier.

- HiRA performs a given row's **refresh** *concurrently with* **activating** any of the **32% of the rows** in the same bank



# HiRA in Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips: Key Result 2

- HiRA works in **56 off-the-shelf DRAM chips** from **SK Hynix**

Table 4: Characteristics of the tested DDR4 DRAM modules.

Module Label	Module Vendor	Module Identifier Chip Identifier	Freq (MT/s)	Date Code	Chip Cap.	Die Rev.	Chip Org.	HiRA Coverage			Norm. $N_{RH}$		
								Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.
A0	G.SKILL	DWCW (Partial Marking)*	2400	42-20	4Gb	B	x8	24.8%	25.0%	25.5%	1.75	1.90	2.52
A1		F4-2400C17S-8GNT [39]						24.9%	26.6%	28.3%	1.72	1.94	2.55
B0	Kingston	H5AN8G8NDJR-XNC	2400	48-20	4Gb	D	x8	25.1%	32.6%	36.8%	1.71	1.89	2.34
B1		KSM32RD8/16HDR [87]						25.0%	31.6%	34.9%	1.74	1.91	2.51
C0	SK Hynix	H5ANAG8NAJR-XN	2400	51-20	4Gb	F	x8	25.3%	35.3%	39.5%	1.47	1.89	2.23
C1		HMAA4GU6AJR8N-XN						29.2%	38.4%	49.9%	1.09	1.88	2.27
C2		HMAA4GU6AJR8N-XN [109]						26.5%	36.1%	42.3%	1.49	1.96	2.58

\* The chip identifier is partially removed on these modules. We infer the chip manufacturer and die revision based on the remaining part of the chip identifier.

- **51.4% reduction** in the time spent for refresh operations

HiRA **effectively reduces the time spent**  
for **refresh** operations in **off-the-shelf** DRAM chips

# HiRA in Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips: Key Results

## HiRA: Hidden Row Activation for Reducing Refresh Latency of Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips

A. Giray Yağlıkcı<sup>1</sup>   Ataberk Olgun<sup>1</sup>   Minesh Patel<sup>1</sup>   Haocong Luo<sup>1</sup>   Hasan Hassan<sup>1</sup>

Lois Orosa<sup>1,3</sup>   Oğuz Ergin<sup>2</sup>   Onur Mutlu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ETH Zürich   <sup>2</sup>TOBB University of Economics and Technology   <sup>3</sup>Galicia Supercomputing Center (CESGA)

*DRAM is the building block of modern main memory systems. DRAM cells must be periodically refreshed to prevent data loss. Refresh operations degrade system performance by interfering with memory accesses. As DRAM chip density increases with technology node scaling, refresh operations also increase because: 1) the number of DRAM rows in a chip increases; and 2) DRAM cells need additional refresh operations to mitigate bit failures caused by RowHammer, a failure mechanism that becomes worse with technology node scaling. Thus, it is critical to enable refresh operations at low performance overhead. To this end, we propose a new operation, Hidden Row Activation (HiRA), and the HiRA Memory Controller (HiRA-MC) to perform HiRA operations*

As DRAM density increases with technology node scaling, the performance overhead of refresh also increases due to three major reasons. First, as the DRAM chip density increases, more DRAM rows need to be periodically refreshed in a DRAM chip [55, 57–61]. Second, as DRAM technology node scales down, DRAM cells become smaller and thus can store less amount of charge, requiring them to be refreshed more frequently [10, 20, 67, 102, 103, 118, 122–124]. Third, with increasing DRAM density, DRAM cells are placed closer to each other, exacerbating charge leakage via a disturbance error mechanism called RowHammer [79, 84, 119, 120, 133, 134, 167, 180, 183], and thus requiring additional refresh operations (called *preventive* refreshes) to avoid data corruption due to RowHam-

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2209.10198.pdf>



# Outline

Background and Problem

Goal and Key Idea

HiRA: Hidden Row Activation

HiRA in Real DRAM Chips


**HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller**

Performance Evaluation


Conclusion

# HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

- **Goal:** Leverage HiRA's parallelism as much as possible
- **Key Insight:** A **time slack** is needed to find a **row activation** and a **refresh** to perform HiRA



RowA and RowZ are in two electrically disconnected subarrays



# HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

- 1 Generates each **periodic refresh** and **RowHammer-preventive refresh with a deadline**
- 2 **Buffers** each **refresh request** and **performs** the refresh request **until** the **deadline**
- 3 Finds if it can **refresh a DRAM row** concurrently with **a DRAM access** or **another refresh**



# HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

## HiRA: Hidden Row Activation for Reducing Refresh Latency of Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips

A. Giray Yağlıkcı<sup>1</sup>   Ataberk Olgun<sup>1</sup>   Minesh Patel<sup>1</sup>   Haocong Luo<sup>1</sup>   Hasan Hassan<sup>1</sup>

Lois Orosa<sup>1,3</sup>   Oğuz Ergin<sup>2</sup>   Onur Mutlu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ETH Zürich

<sup>2</sup>TOBB University of Economics and Technology

<sup>3</sup>Galicia Supercomputing Center (CESGA)

*DRAM is the building block of modern main memory systems. DRAM cells must be periodically refreshed to prevent data loss. Refresh operations degrade system performance by interfering with memory accesses. As DRAM chip density increases with technology node scaling, refresh operations also increase because: 1) the number of DRAM rows in a chip increases; and 2) DRAM cells need additional refresh operations to mitigate bit failures caused by RowHammer, a failure mechanism that becomes worse with technology node scaling. Thus, it is critical to enable refresh operations at low performance overhead. To this end, we propose a new operation, Hidden Row Activation (HiRA), and the HiRA Memory Controller (HiRA-MC) to perform HiRA operations*

As DRAM density increases with technology node scaling, the performance overhead of refresh also increases due to three major reasons. First, as the DRAM chip density increases, more DRAM rows need to be periodically refreshed in a DRAM chip [55, 57–61]. Second, as DRAM technology node scales down, DRAM cells become smaller and thus can store less amount of charge, requiring them to be refreshed more frequently [10, 20, 67, 102, 103, 118, 122–124]. Third, with increasing DRAM density, DRAM cells are placed closer to each other, exacerbating charge leakage via a disturbance error mechanism called RowHammer [79, 84, 119, 120, 133, 134, 167, 180, 183], and thus requiring additional refresh operations (called *preventive* refreshes) to avoid data corruption due to RowHam-

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2209.10198.pdf>



# Outline

Background and Problem

Goal and Key Idea

HiRA: Hidden Row Activation

HiRA in Real DRAM Chips

HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

Performance Evaluation

Conclusion

# Performance Evaluation

- Cycle-level simulations using **Ramulator** [Kim+, CAL 2015]

- **System Configuration:**

<b>Processor</b>	3.2 GHz, 8 core, 4-wide issue, 128-entry instr. window
<b>Last-Level Cache</b>	64-byte cache line, 8-way set-associative, 8 MB
<b>Memory Scheduler</b>	FR-FCFS
<b>Address Mapping</b>	Minimalistic Open Pages
<b>Main Memory</b>	DDR4, 4 bank group, 4 banks per bank group (16 banks per rank)
<b>Timing Parameters</b>	$t_1=t_2=3\text{ns}$ , $t_{\text{RC}}=46.25\text{ns}$ , $t_{\text{FAW}}=16\text{ns}$

- **Workloads:** 125 different **8-core** multiprogrammed workloads from the SPEC2006 benchmark suite


- **DRAM Chip Capacity:** {2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128} Gb

- **RowHammer Threshold:** {1024, 512, 256, 128, 64} activations

The minimum number of row activations needed to induce the first RowHammer bit flip

# HiRA for Periodic Refreshes

- **No-Refresh:** No periodic refresh is performed (Ideal case)
- **Baseline:** Auto-Refresh (using conventional REF commands)




Periodic refreshes cause *significant* **(26%) performance overhead**

**HiRA improves** system performance **by 12.6%** over the baseline

# HiRA for Preventive Refreshes

- **No Defense:** No RowHammer mitigation employed (i.e., no preventive refresh)
- **PARA** [Kim+, ISCA'14]: the RowHammer defense with the **lowest hardware overhead**



PARA *significantly reduces (by 96%)* system performance

**HiRA improves** system performance **by 3.7x** over PARA

# More in the Full Paper

- **Real DRAM Chip Experiments**
  - Verification of **HiRA's functionality**
  - **Variation** in HiRA's characteristics **across banks**
- Sensitivity to
  - length of **time slack** for refreshes
  - **number of channels**
  - **number of ranks**
- Hardware Complexity Analysis
  - Chip **area cost of 0.0023%** of a processor die per DRAM rank
  - **No additional latency** overhead
- Experimental Methodology
  - **Detailed algorithms** for each set of **real chip** experiments
  - Extensive **security analysis** for RowHammer-preventive refreshes
- Detailed Algorithm of **Finding Concurrent Refreshes**

# More in the Full Paper

## HiRA: Hidden Row Activation for Reducing Refresh Latency of Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips

A. Giray Yağlıkcı<sup>1</sup>   Ataberk Olgun<sup>1</sup>   Minesh Patel<sup>1</sup>   Haocong Luo<sup>1</sup>   Hasan Hassan<sup>1</sup>

Lois Orosa<sup>1,3</sup>   Oğuz Ergin<sup>2</sup>   Onur Mutlu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ETH Zürich

<sup>2</sup>TOBB University of Economics and Technology

<sup>3</sup>Galicia Supercomputing Center (CESGA)

*DRAM is the building block of modern main memory systems. DRAM cells must be periodically refreshed to prevent data loss. Refresh operations degrade system performance by interfering with memory accesses. As DRAM chip density increases with technology node scaling, refresh operations also increase because: 1) the number of DRAM rows in a chip increases; and 2) DRAM cells need additional refresh operations to mitigate bit failures caused by RowHammer, a failure mechanism that becomes worse with technology node scaling. Thus, it is critical to enable refresh operations at low performance overhead. To this end, we propose a new operation, Hidden Row Activation (HiRA), and the HiRA Memory Controller (HiRA-MC) to perform HiRA operations*

As DRAM density increases with technology node scaling, the performance overhead of refresh also increases due to three major reasons. First, as the DRAM chip density increases, more DRAM rows need to be periodically refreshed in a DRAM chip [55, 57–61]. Second, as DRAM technology node scales down, DRAM cells become smaller and thus can store less amount of charge, requiring them to be refreshed more frequently [10, 20, 67, 102, 103, 118, 122–124]. Third, with increasing DRAM density, DRAM cells are placed closer to each other, exacerbating charge leakage via a disturbance error mechanism called RowHammer [79, 84, 119, 120, 133, 134, 167, 180, 183], and thus requiring additional refresh operations (called *preventive* refreshes) to avoid data corruption due to RowHam-

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2209.10198.pdf>



# Outline

Background and Problem

Goal and Key Idea

HiRA: Hidden Row Activation

HiRA in Real DRAM Chips

HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

Performance Evaluation

Conclusion



# Conclusion

- **HiRA**: Hidden Row Activation – a new DRAM operation
  - First technique that **refreshes a DRAM row** *concurrently with activating another row* in the *same* bank in **off-the-shelf DRAM chips**
  - **Real DRAM chip** experiments:
    - HiRA works on **56 real off-the-shelf DRAM chips**
    - **51.4% reduction** in the time spent for refresh operations
- **HiRA-MC**: HiRA Memory Controller – a new mechanism
  - **Leverages HiRA** to perform **refresh requests** *concurrently with DRAM accesses* and **other refresh requests**
  - **HiRA-MC provides**:
    - **12.6% speedup** by hiding *periodic* refresh latency
    - **3.7x speedup** by hiding *RowHammer-preventive* refresh latency

# ***HiRA: Hidden Row Activation***

*for Reducing Refresh Latency of Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips*

**Abdullah Giray Yağlıkçı**

Ataberk Olgun   Minesh Patel   Haocong Luo   Hasan Hassan

Lois Orosa   Oğuz Ergin   Onur Mutlu

**SAFARI**

**ETH** zürich



**TOBB ETÜ**  
University of Economics & Technology

# ***HiRA: Hidden Row Activation***

*for Reducing Refresh Latency of Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips*

## ***Backup Slides***

**Abdullah Giray Yağlıkçı**

Ataberk Olgun   Minesh Patel   Haocong Luo   Hasan Hassan

Lois Orosa   Oğuz Ergin   Onur Mutlu

**SAFARI**

**ETH** zürich




CESGA




**TOBB ETÜ**  
University of Economics & Technology

# The RowHammer Vulnerability




Repeatedly **opening** (activating) and **closing** (precharging) a DRAM row in **real DRAM chips** causes **RowHammer bit flips** in nearby cells

# Preventive Refresh



**Activating** a DRAM row **refreshes the row**  
and **prevents RowHammer bit flips**

# Mitigating RowHammer




## Preventive Refresh

Activating potential victim rows **mitigate RowHammer** by refreshing them

# RowHammer and Preventive Refresh

- **RowHammer**: Repeatedly accessing a DRAM row can **cause bit flips** in other **physically nearby rows**
- **Preventive Refresh**: Refresh a DRAM row when a physically nearby row is activated based on *activation counts* or *probabilistic processes*



**Preventive refresh mitigates RowHammer bit flips**

# HiRA: Hidden Row Activation


- HiRA **concurrently activates** two rows in a DRAM bank
  - **Challenge 1: Only one row** can be activated in a DRAM bank at a given time
  - **Solution 1** : HiRA **violates timing constraints** for concurrent row activations
- HiRA issues **two row activation (ACT)** commands **in quick succession**
  - **Challenge 2: DRAM chips ignore the second** activation before precharge
  - **Solution 2** : HiRA issues a **precharge (PRE)** command **between two ACTs**
- HiRA activates **two DRAM rows** in the **same bank**
  - **Challenge 3: The two rows can override** each other's data **via shared bitlines**
  - **Solution 3** : HiRA uses rows from two **electrically disconnected subarrays**

HiRA **violates DRAM timing constraints**  
by issuing a sequence of **ACT-PRE-ACT** commands  
that target two rows in two **electrically disconnected subarrays**




# HiRA: Hidden Row Activation

Refreshing RowA concurrently with **Activating RowB**




# HiRA Operation



HiRA **refreshes RowA** concurrently with **activating RowB** by issuing **ACT-PRE-ACT** commands in quick succession

# HiRA in Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips: Key Results



- HiRA works in **56 off-the-shelf DRAM chips** from **SK Hynix**
- **51.4% reduction** in the time spent for refresh operations
- HiRA performs a given row's **refresh** *concurrently with* **activating** any of the **32% of the rows** in the same bank



HiRA **effectively reduces the time spent** for **refresh** operations in **off-the-shelf** DRAM chips

# HiRA Support in Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips


- 56 off-the-shelf DDR4 DRAM chips support HiRA (from SK Hynix)
- HiRA Coverage of a given DRAM row:
  - Refresh a given DRAM row while activating other rows in the same bank
  - We sweep two timing parameters:  $t_1$  and  $t_2$





$t_1$  and  $t_2$  can be as small as 3ns

HiRA can **refresh a DRAM row concurrently with 32%** of any of the other DRAM rows **in the same bank**

# HiRA's Second Row Activation




- Does performing HiRA in between refresh the victim row?
  - If HiRA's second row activation is performed, more activations are needed to induce RowHammer bit flips
  - If HiRA's second row activation is ignored, RowHammer threshold should not change




# Variation across DRAM Banks

- Coverage: Identical across banks
- The effect of second row activation




# HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller

- **Goal:** Leverage HiRA's parallelism as much as possible
- **Periodic** and **preventive** refresh controllers generate each refresh request **with a deadline**
- **Refresh Table** buffers a refresh request until its **deadline**
- **Concurrent Refresh Finder** finds if HiRA can refresh a row
  - Concurrently with a **memory request**
  - Concurrently with another **refresh request**



# The Concurrent Refresh Finder




**Case 1:** Executes when a precharge is issued (completes before the precharge completes)

**Case 2:** Periodically executes after every  $t_{RC}$  (completes before  $t_{RC}$ )




# HiRA-MC Example

- Case 1: **Refresh – Access** Parallelism



- Case 2: **Refresh – Refresh** Parallelism




HiRA-MC provides **refresh-access** and **refresh-refresh** parallelism

# HiRA-MC Hardware Complexity

- We use CACTI with 22nm technology node

HiRA-MC Component	Area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Area (% of Chip Area)	Access Latency
Refresh Table	0.00031	<0.0001%	0.07ns
RefPtr Table	0.00683	0.0017%	0.12ns
PR-FIFO	0.00029	<0.0001%	0.07ns
Subarray Pairs Table	0.00180	0.0005%	0.09ns
Overall	0.00923	0.0023%	6.31ns

HiRA-MC consumes only **0.0023%** of CPU chip area per DRAM rank



HiRA-MC **does not increase** memory access latency

# Estimating Periodic Refresh Overhead

$$t_{RFC} = 110 \times C_{chip}^{0.6}$$

Latency of a REF command

DRAM Chip Capacity

2018 ACM/IEEE 45th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture

## Nonblocking Memory Refresh


Kate Nguyen, Kehan Lyu, Xianze Meng  
Department of Computer Science  
Virginia Tech  
Blacksburg, Virginia  
katevy@vt.edu, kehan@vt.edu, xianze@vt.edu

Vilas Sridharan  
RAS Architecture  
Advanced Micro Devices, Inc  
Bosborough, Massachusetts  
vilas.sridharan@amd.com


Xun Jian  
Department of Computer Science  
Virginia Tech  
Blacksburg, Virginia  
xunj@vt.edu

# Reducing Overall Latency of Two Refreshes

- Refreshing two rows using nominal timing parameters:



- Using HiRA:



**Overall latency** of refreshing two rows reduces **by 51.4%**  
**from 78.25ns** down **to 38ns**

# Tested DRAM Chips

**Table 4: Characteristics of the tested DDR4 DRAM modules.**

Module Label	Module Vendor	Module Identifier Chip Identifier	Freq (MT/s)	Date Code	Chip Cap.	Die Rev.	Chip Org.	HiRA Coverage			Norm. $N_{RH}$		
								Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.
A0	G.SKILL	DWCW (Partial Marking)*	2400	42-20	4Gb	B	x8	24.8%	25.0%	25.5%	1.75	1.90	2.52
A1		F4-2400C17S-8GNT [39]						24.9%	26.6%	28.3%	1.72	1.94	2.55
B0	Kingston	H5AN8G8NDJR-XNC	2400	48-20	4Gb	D	x8	25.1%	32.6%	36.8%	1.71	1.89	2.34
B1		KSM32RD8/16HDR [87]						25.0%	31.6%	34.9%	1.74	1.91	2.51
C0	SK Hynix	H5ANAG8NAJR-XN	2400	51-20	4Gb	F	x8	25.3%	35.3%	39.5%	1.47	1.89	2.23
C1		HMAA4GU6AJR8N-XN [109]						29.2%	38.4%	49.9%	1.09	1.88	2.27
C2								26.5%	36.1%	42.3%	1.49	1.96	2.58


\* The chip identifier is partially removed on these modules. We infer the chip manufacturer and die revision based on the remaining part of the chip identifier.

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2209.10198.pdf>





# HiRA-MC: HiRA Memory Controller



- **Periodic** and **preventive** refresh controllers generate each refresh request **with a deadline**
- **Refresh Table** buffers a refresh request **until its deadline**
- **Concurrent Refresh Finder** finds if HiRA can refresh a row
  - Concurrently with a **DRAM access**
  - Concurrently with another **refresh request**



# HiRA for Periodic Refreshes




# RowHammer Thresholds






# HiRA for Preventive Refreshes

a) PARA's perf. overhead with and without HiRA




b) HiRA's perf. improvement compared to PARA




# HiRA for Periodic Refresh

## Sensitivity to Number of Channels and Ranks






# HiRA for Preventive Refresh

## Sensitivity to Number of Channels and Ranks




# Workload Memory Access Characteristics

- 125 different 8-core multiprogrammed workloads
- Three histograms showing MPKI, RBCPKI, and RBHPKI respectively




# RowHammer Mitigation across Generations




J. S. Kim, M. Patel, A. G. Yaglikci, H. Hassan, R. Azizi, L. Orosa, and O. Mutlu, "[Revisiting RowHammer: An Experimental Analysis of Modern Devices and Mitigation Techniques](#)," in *ISCA*, 2020.

# Refresh Delay

- DDRx protocols allow a REF command to be **postponed** for  $\sim 70\mu\text{s}$



- HiRA-MC's current design **does not** leverage this flexibility



- A **longer time slack** allows
  - the baseline to **better utilize** DRAM **idle time** to perform refresh operations
  - HiRA to find **more opportunities** to perform a refresh operation **concurrently with** a DRAM access
- **Future sensitivity study:** the effect of long refresh delays

# Energy

- HiRA *does not change* the **number of refresh operations** at a **given time window**
  - Overall energy consumed for refresh operations is the same
- HiRA **improves system performance**
  - **Reduces** the background **energy consumption**
- Evaluation requires an **accurate power model** based on **real system measurements**, similar to VAMPIRE [Ghose+ SIGMETRICS'17], but for HiRA operations

# ***HiRA: Hidden Row Activation***

*for Reducing Refresh Latency of Off-the-Shelf DRAM Chips*

**Abdullah Giray Yağlıkçı**

Ataberk Olgun Minesh Patel Haocong Luo Hasan Hassan

Lois Orosa Oğuz Ergin Onur Mutlu

**SAFARI**

**ETH** zürich



**TOBB ETÜ**  
University of Economics & Technology